COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES January 25, 2022

The Board of Davis County Commissioners met for their regularly scheduled meeting at 10:00 a.m. on January 25, 2022, in room 303 of the Davis County Administration Building, 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah. Required legal notice of this meeting was given.

Present on the dais were: Commissioner Randy B. Elliott, Chair; Commissioner Lorene Miner Kamalu, Vice-Chair; Commissioner Bob J Stevenson; Curtis Koch, Davis County Clerk/Auditor; and Neal Geddes, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney.

All documents from this meeting are on file in the Davis County Clerk/Auditor's Office. The agenda for this meeting is incorporated into the minutes as item headers.

OPENING

The meeting convened at 10:00 a.m. and Clearfield City Councilwoman Nike Peterson led the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Members of the public were invited to make comments to the Commission.

Michelle Scharf: My name is Michelle Scharf, and I am a declared candidate for the Clerk/Auditor's Office. Over this past weekend, I have been collecting my thoughts on Davis County elected officials to contemplate the structural changes to our County by the splitting of the Clerk/Auditor's Office. During my time as a resident of Davis County, I often looked for ways that I can help support my community. I looked at running for Clerk/Auditor as a way to use my talents for the betterment of our communities, and I believe that through strong leadership and transparency I would be able to improve the efficiencies and integrity of these offices. While Commissioners Stevenson, Kamalu, and Elliott look towards splitting the Clerk/Auditor Office, it appears that not all avenues have been fully discussed or considered. I have been disappointed to see that discussions regarding this decision have been in closed meetings or meetings that were not properly disclosed to our Davis County citizens, as stated by Commissioner Kamalu in last Thursday's meeting. The most fiscally responsible and plausible solution is to continue to look for/to additional efficiencies and to see where leadership is lacking – exactly the purpose and opportunity an election provides. The Commissioners are well aware that it would be less of a burden to our taxpayers to fill the gaps with part-time employees than to pay the salary of what would now be two elected officials. Since this solution is not on the table, and no one is blinking an eye, I can't help but wonder if the timing of this new ordinance should not come into question. Splitting the office needs more budgetary considerations as well, since not only we'll be adding an elected official but there are potential needs which this new ordinance does not provide in current budget. The initial filing date for gathering signatures began on January 3, which technically is the soft declaration of candidacy. One week after I filed for Clerk/Auditor Office our Commissioners essentially decided for our residents that they know what is best in Davis County's interest, and therefore are going to intervene in an election process. One must ask, "Why now?" While I accept the terms that Brian McKenzie sent me yesterday regarding my use of already gathered signatures being applied to the office for which I choose to run, I do not approve of the process that the Commission and Clerk/Auditor have taken to disrupt this election already in process. When I ran in 2014 for the Commission, before any of you were in office, I ran on a platform of leadership, transparency, and making the Commission Meetings recorded live and accessible to citizens. While it was not rolled out and promoted in the way that I envisioned, my ideas were acted upon. When I lend my voice to the discussion, positive changes have taken place in our community. I make a difference just in the act of showing up and participating in the process. I am committed to running for office, and I will continue to fight for transparency and leadership in our County government, and I look forward to having the hard conversations that need to take place. Thank you.

Rhonda Perkes: My name is Rhonda Perkes; I am here representing Congressman Chris Stewart. I just come today by way of a little update. I wanted to share one piece of legislative news with you. I know it's been a few weeks since I have been up here to give an update. At the very beginning of January, there was a bill introduced by Congressman Stewart and a Democratic colleague of his in Congress, Representative Krishnamoorthi, who is from India and has a really unique understanding of international politics and policy. The intelligence community, on the federal level, received some information in December that the People's

Republic of China was using some ports in the UAE, the United Arab Emirates – [they] were using some commercial ports for political gain, political purposes, and military use that they shouldn't have been doing. So this bill requires a report to be given to the Joint Intelligence communities from Senate and Congress to gather information on that from the Director of National Intelligence. So, as usual, Congressman Stewart is trying to remain abreast of all of the things that are happening on the international stage militarily. We'll see if that goes anywhere, but Congress has not voted on a lot recently, and Mr. Stewart would like to see more things come to the floor; that has not been the case in the last several months. He'd like to see more votes and feels like it's the responsibility of Congress to take a look at bills and vote on them, and there's just not been a lot of opportunity for that lately, so that is a concern and we are hoping that that trajectory changes sometime soon. So, we'll see if this bill moves, and I'll try and keep you updated on that. Thank you.

Nike Peterson: My name is Nike Peterson and, even though I serve on Clearfield City Council, I am here as a private resident and my opinions are solely my own. In September of 1980, fun fact, two airmen at a Titan II missile silo in Damascus, Arkansas, were on a routine training mission. They were there simply to service one of our ICBMs. Regulations had changed recently, and they were supposed to use a torque wrench instead of a ratchet, but they made a simple mistake. They were already geared, they were below deck, and it seemed difficult to go back, take the time and to correct that. So instead they proceeded with it. The only problem is that the 8-foot socket on the end of the ratchet fell through 80 feet, puncturing one of two hypergolic chambers. In trying to correct that over the next 12 hours, the remedy steps would cause that to explode. The 740-ton door would be ripped off of that, and a Titan II 9-megaton nuclear warhead would be discharged. It would kill and injure severely the people in that area, and we came this close to losing half of Arkansas. So, why do I bring this up? Nothing they did, I think, was with malice – but it was without forethought, and there were unintended consequences that had disastrous impact and created a Broken Arrow incident. I come here with the strongest objection to bifurcating the office of Clerk/Auditor, now that this has already begun. I don't think, just in knowing the Commission, that there's any ill intent. But I think the unintended consequences of choosing to pull the trigger, once the election cycle has already begun, will create a Damascus incident within the County. I raise a couple of points. Number one, we've moved the finish line, and even though State statute allows you the legal latitude to do this, we've now muddied, and sullied, and called into question the ethics of doing such. If we can, should we? Second of all, as been noted by other public comments today, there is no public record that's available to go back and to be able to look and examine and become educated on the process. I tried over the weekend, and going back the last 12 months there's nothing in the public record that allows me to come and understand more of that process. And from the public comments in the Thursday work session, there has been, apparently, very little discussion as far as the impact fiscally – salaries, distribution of duties, job descriptions – to name just a few. This disadvantages anybody who would want to enter that race. Either because they've lost time in perhaps looking at a separated job that they may have not have felt qualified to have taken on, or disadvantages them coming through the convention process now because they are coming into an election cycle with not a lot of absolutes. What is my job description? I don't know. What is my salary? I don't know. These are but some of them. I have no opinion as to whether or not you should do this - simply to ask you to do it correctly.

Daniela Harding: My name is Daniela Harding. Although I am currently serving as the Davis County Republican Party Chair, I come here as a private citizen today. Last week, when this meeting — the Special Commission Meeting – was noticed, on, I believe it was January 19, it was noticed at 12:33 p.m. Public commenting was closed at 5 p.m. I myself did not find out about that meeting until 7 p.m., and I was not aware of what the intent of the Commission was. As somebody who is in charge of convention, this is a big deal. We are now splitting the offices, essentially mid-election cycle, and I have candidates come to me and ask me the questions that Nike just asked. They want to know exactly how much is this going to pay, what exactly the duties of this office are, and as Chair of the Party I want to be prepared to tell every single candidate what's expected of them before they sign up to run. Now, I have no comment in terms of whether you should do this or not, but I do think that the timing of this is very ill-timed. And as a County party chair, and as a private citizen, I would appreciate transparency. I would appreciate notice with things of this latitude. I have spent the last five days fielding phone calls from many, many, many irate Republicans over this – "What do we do now?" So, please do not make this decision lightly. If this decision is made today, to split the office, I would ask for complete transparency with the Commissioners, with the Clerk/Auditor, to know exactly what is going on. And I also hope that this mistake – calling a Special Commission Meeting in this fashion – would not be repeated. This, I think, could have been avoided. And I appreciate all that you do, and I appreciate your time and listening to my comments – please take them into consideration.

Clerk/Auditor Koch said, at this time, that he'd just received notice that the streaming service for the meeting was not working, and there were 15 people waiting in the viewing room. Commissioners asked that an Information Services tech be called. In response to a question, the Clerk/Auditor explained that even though the live stream video wasn't working the audio recording was working, and it would be posted on the Public Notice website.

Public Comments continued.

Tyson Plastow: My name's Tyson Plastow. I live in Layton, Utah. I am a certified internal auditor. I hold a certification in risk management assurance, which is a fancy way of saying I can audit - I've taken some tests and can audit some things. First off, I don't want to speak in regards to the process of how we got here but where we're at. I appreciate it. I'm almost giddy for the separation. An effective, independent, and an objective audit function is ... the RIO (return on investment) is there - it's a valuable investment for any organization, whether private or public. And that we are taking the effort to separate these, these offices of the Clerk and the Auditor offices, I think is great. I think that leads to that independence. You can't really effectively get in and audit something, review it and get into the details of "This is how we should do things better," if you have other duties. You can't really audit yourself and say, "You know, this is how I should do better" - you can't according to any audit standards that are accepted nationally or internationally, at least. And you can't be as objective in that role if you have those other duties and responsibilities. I think in order to make that truly effective, State statute allows the Commissioners to kind of remove accounting duties from that Auditor Office. That Auditor Office should be, if it's truly effective, independent, and objective, it should not have any other duties other than to perform audits — special purpose audits, performance audits, financial audits. It should be straight up auditor. It should be, again, in order to be effective, it should be someone that has a designation such as a CPA or a CIA [Certified Internal Auditor], or something along those lines, where they are, in a sense, kind of like an assessor, where you have a certification showing, "Look, you can do what you can do. You can get in there, you can function, you can run this office." We don't just elect just anybody who's willing to serve as the County Attorney. In order to be independent, objective, and effective, it should be someone that has no other office, has no other duties, has the certification to be able to audit with nationally and internationally recognized standards. And as far as costs, there's kind of already an audit function going on right now. There's a Clerk /Auditor, right? And so, whether there are increased costs or not, I can't speak to that — you would know better than me. But I can say that in my professional experience, when I have seen an investment in an effective, independent, objective audit function that the return on investment is there. Thank you.

Commissioner Kamalu asked if Michelle (Scharf) had been able to have stated what she wanted stated in the public meeting. Scharf replied that she wished it was recorded live, to which Commissioner Kamalu responded that it is recorded, just not streamed until the issue with steaming is fixed.

Commissioner Elliott asked Commissioner Kamalu to read comments that were received online. **Commissioner Kamalu** noted there was just one online comment, from **Clearfield City Council Member Karece Thompson**, which came on Monday, January 24, at 12:19 p.m. Commissioner Kamalu then read the email as follows:

Dear Commission,

With much respect and consideration to the comments made regarding this ordinance, I disagree with its passing. I would hope that this ordinance can be considered in 2023 when an election cycle is not occurring for the office in its current form. I am concerned of the message such a change would send during this time. A message that basically rewrites the rules and roles of this office at a time where people continually question the faith of our elections. The discussion on this change from what I have observed was not discussed at all in 2021 when such a potential ordinance would have been more appropriate. I would personally be in favor of keeping the office as is and hiring more full time staff if needed. Also I am concerned that any challenger who is actively working for this office could pursue injunctive relief as the recognized start of this cycle started in early January when the intent to gather signatures were declared by candidates across our county and great state. County legal resources should not be used for an action that could have been prevented with better timing and transparency regarding this discussion.

Thank you for your time.

Karece Thompson

Commissioner Elliott again invited public comment; no more comments were made.

BUSINESS/ ACTION

Animal Care

Amendment #2016-231-F to the Interlocal Cooperation 1. Approval of Amendment #2016-231-F to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services with Clinton City for the 2022 calendar year obligation to Davis County for the capital projects fund regarding the shelter — Presented by Animal Care Director Ashleigh Young

Agreement for **Animal Services** with Clinton City for 2022

Terms: Receivable in the amount of \$109,964.20, beginning 1/1/2022 to 12/31/2022.

Amendment #2016-324-G to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for **Animal Services** with South Weber City for 2022

2. Approval of Amendment #2016-324-G to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services with South Weber City for the 2022 calendar year obligation to Davis County for the capital projects fund **regarding the shelter** — Presented by Animal Care Director Ashleigh Young

Terms: Receivable in the amount of \$24,127.78, beginning 1/1/2022 to 12/31/2022.

Amendment #2016-246-G to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for **Animal Services** with Kaysville City for 2022

3. Approval of Amendment #2016-246-G to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services with Kaysville City for the 2022 calendar year obligation to Davis County for the capital projects fund **regarding the shelter** — Presented by Animal Care Director Ashleigh Young

Terms: Receivable in the amount of \$90,954.44, beginning 1/1/2022 to 12/31/2022.

Motion to Approve Items 1-3: Com. Kamalu

Seconded: Com. Stevenson

All voted Aye

Clerk/Auditor

Cooperative Agreement #2022-41 with **USU Extension** to provide services to county residents

4. Approval of the 2022 Cooperative Agreement, #2022-41, with Utah State University Extension to provide cooperative extension services to county residents — Presented by Clerk/Auditor Curtis Koch

Item 4 is the annual agreement with Utah State University Extension. Terms: Payable in the amount of \$251,874,]beginning 1/1/2022 to 12/31/2022].

Amendment #2015-102-G to the Comprehensive Contract with Davis Behavioral Health (DBH) to provide comprehensive services

5. Approval of Amendment #2015-102-G to the Comprehensive Contract with Davis Behavioral Health (DBH) to provide comprehensive substance abuse and mental health services — Presented by Clerk/Auditor Curtis Koch

Item 5 is the annual amendment with Davis Behavioral Health for comprehensive mental health services for the County. Terms: Payable in the amount of \$1,971,700, [beginning 1/1/2022 to 12/31/2022].

Motion to Approve Items 4-5: Com. Stevenson

Seconded: Com. Kamalu All voted Aye

Commission

Cancellation of Regular Commission Meetings on Feb. 15 and May 10, 2022

6. Approval of request to cancel the Regular Commission Meetings on February 15, 2022, and May 10, 2022 — Presented by Commissioner Randy Elliott

Commissioners have conferences out of town and won't be available on these dates.

Motion to Approve: Com. Stevenson

Seconded: Com. Kamalu

All voted Aye

It was noted at this time that live video streaming of the meeting had resumed.

Ordinance #2-2022 separating the consolidated offices of the County Clerk and County Auditor of Davis County Utah 7. Adoption of Ordinance #2-2022 separating the consolidated offices of the County Clerk and County Auditor of Davis County Utah — Presented by Commissioner Randy Elliott

Commissioner Elliott asked Neal Geddes if it had been noticed and posted. Geddes answered in the affirmative and recommended reading the ordinance aloud. It was read by Commissioner Stevenson, and is attached to these meeting minutes for ease of use.

See Attachment A, "Davis County, Utah Ordinance No. 2-2022."

Commissioner Elliott asked if there were any comments.

Commissioner Stevenson said he thought the comments made by everyone were very good. We've heard those that think it's the right thing, he said, adding that he didn't know if he'd heard anybody say that it is the wrong thing to do. There has been a little bit of concern as far as the timing goes. Commissioner Stevenson said part of what has seen over the past two years is that the workload in these two offices has grown to where he's actually had discussions with the Clerk/Auditor, and also with the Deputies, about the amount of work that is there. Since back in the late '80s, when this was changed and combined, this County has realistically more than doubled in size, the election process has completely changed, and the amount of things that the Auditor does on the audit side is immensely larger. Commissioner Stevenson said he guessed that if this would have been brought up in November there probably would have been some questions, but from his personal standpoint a change does need to be made. If we were to stay the way it is, whomever became the next Clerk/Auditor – whether it's the present one or someone else through the election process - we probably would start to have concerns. Also, it's a four-year process before it can be done again, and once a person is in term it can't just be changed. From the Commission standpoint, they are the ones who now have the responsibility of making these decisions, Commissioner Stevenson said. They do absolutely want public input – it's good to be able to learn and hear from everyone – but they are the ones working here, and it's part of their responsibility. Commissioner Stevenson addressed Daniela Harding's question, as far as meetings, about the amount of time. I know we were within law/ordinance with what we did even though, I guess, we could have done more, he said. But he added that he doesn't know if, realistically, that would have changed much. Part of what they wanted to do was to try to get this in place as quickly as

Commissioner Kamalu echoed Commissioner Stevenson's comment that it is the responsibility of three Commissioners to act in the best interest of Davis County. There were not any breaks of open and public meeting law, because any single commissioner can do due diligence and have conversations with any other elected [official], she said. In fact, Commissioners are actually assigned as liaisons to elected officials. Open and public meetings include the budget season, Commissioner Kamalu said, adding that she attended those meetings prior to taking office to understand the function and responsibility of Commission and how the budgeting process works – and it's giant. There's a lot to learning, studying, and working hard in the best interests of this entire County, and Commissioners love input. Some of the questions and concerns brought up have added to her understanding. There were some things that weren't anticipated in the effort to figure it out [how to split the offices], and to do it according to the law, while there's an opportunity for all candidates to be able to make a decision and to have their campaign move forward as strong as they would like for it to. The only thing it disturbed, that she's aware of, is the signature gathering that started from the beginning of the year. Commissioners said, in the public meeting last week, that their intent is to absolutely make sure that signatures that have been gathered can go toward whichever office is chosen by the candidate who has filed to collect signatures. Commissioner Kamalu said it is her desire to do the right thing for Davis County, the right thing for the candidate who has filed to collect signatures, and the right thing for Davis County into the future. When she mentioned that it has been contemplated for some time that we may need to discuss, in 2022, the change to the budget that would be required for separating this office in the way that it used to be pre-1989, it would certainly make a difference in the budget. Every year there are many changes to the budget -- millions of dollars of changes to the budget -- to do the right thing for what is seen in the County currently, and what can be seen for the foreseeable future. The foreseeable future is that Davis County, based on a report that just came out from Kem Gardner Institute, is expected to grow by another 100,000 people within the next 20-30 years or so. [Following the meeting, Commissioner Kamalu corrected the number, noting that the report projects the County's 2021 population of 364,241 to add 216,736 by 2060.] This County has grown tremendously. Every one of our 15 cities knows the pressure on growth in our local government - it's huge. There is so much work for each of those offices [Clerk and Auditor] that one elected official has only been able to fulfill the responsibility, by State statute, for two separate offices because of two remarkable deputies. She noted, however, that there are meeting requirements at the State level during that budget season, which is also election season, and the elected official simply can't be in more than one place for those months at a time. As far as the job descriptions, they are outlined in State statute. Davis County's elected positions are full-time expected. She believes it is no longer possible for one person to do those two offices, she said. In an effort to address questions, Commissioner Kamalu noted that State law SB 54, which has been in place for several years, allowed for the gathering of signatures which started campaigns earlier — gathering signatures can start in January — but State law has not necessarily caught up with the February 1 legislative deadline to make a change like this.

Commissioner Kamalu said she wished this change would have been contemplated sooner, as this would have been really great to discuss in a public meeting last November. She said it's fair and right for her to say she's sorry that she and others didn't contemplate it at that time, but by law, with SB 54 and what's allowed, there's kind of a line.

Commissioner Stevenson, to answer previously posed questions, said that elected people in the County all make the same amount of money, excluding the Sheriff because of the responsibility, and also the Attorney. There was an increase for the Clerk/Auditor because the jobs were combined. Once the Clerk and Auditor jobs are separated, those salaries will fall back to be consistent with what other elected people receive. He also responded to the aspect of hiring part-time people or hiring more people within the department to be able to help. What has to be remembered is that when you're an elected person there are certain functions that you can only do, and your deputy cannot do them, he said. Part of what has been seen in this position, no matter who's in it, is that they are being pulled two different directions and having a hard time being able to perform the function that, by law, they have to perform – it's not as though they can pass that on, and so these are things that we've looked at.

Commissioner Elliott recognized Michelle Scharf and invited her to speak. Ms. Scharf asked that the details of the agreement that she and Chief Deputy Clerk/Auditor Brian McKenzie had discussed the day before this meeting be part of the public record.

Commissioner Stevenson noted that only one individual had filed to run for the office of Clerk/Auditor, and that one person was Michelle Scharf. He said the County has done everything it can, and that he had spoken with Scharf and she's comfortable with the signature situation as far as being able to cover whichever direction she decides to go. Now that the County has two positions, hopefully there will be more people who will step forward and say that they want to file, if they've still got time to get signatures, or they can go through the convention process. So, Commissioners have been trying to, in a hard decision, cover as many bases as possible.

Commissioner Elliott accommodated Michelle Scharf's request by asking Commissioner Kamalu to read into the record an email from Scharf and the response from the Clerk's Office. The email from Michelle Scharf was read as follows, with Commissioner Kamalu's added comments in parentheses:

Regarding the move to split the office of Clerk/Auditor for which I have placed my interest in running, I reached out and met with Ryan Cowley today in the Lieutenant Governor's office. He informed me that his thoughts of whether Davis County allows the signatures I have already gathered to be counted towards either the Clerk or the Auditor's offices would fall to the Commission as it is their election.

I am requesting that any action taken by the Davis County Commission tomorrow (which is now today) have language included in writing that any candidate currently declared for signature gathering in the Clerk/Auditor race be given the option of applying their already gathered signatures for either office.

The reply from the Clerk's Office [from Chief Deputy Clerk/Auditor Brian McKenzie] was read as follows, with Commissioner Kamalu's added comments in parentheses:

... spoken with the Lieutenant Governor's Office and asked for guidance on how to handle a potential situation in which the Clerk/Auditor's Office would be split and we have a current candidate gathering signatures. They (Lt. Governor's Office) indicated that they could not provide a legal opinion, but pointed out that as this is a county office, this decision would be up to the County Election Official, in this case the Clerk/Auditor.

In discussing this matter with Curtis, the desire was to proceed in the most fair manner possible as it relates to your situation.

He has determined that if the Commission decides to split the office then the following conditions will apply:

- 1. You would have one week from the date of the Commission's decision to determine if you will be gathering signatures for the Office of County Clerk or for the Office of County Auditor, at which time you will need to come to the Clerk's Office and modify your Intent to Gather Signatures paperwork.
- 2. The Clerk's Office will provide you with new packet originals, which will reflect the new office of your choosing, on the date you modify your paperwork.
- 3. Any packets that have their first signature dated prior to the date on which you modify your Intent to Gather Signatures paperwork, which identify the combined office, would be counted towards the new office of your choosing.

- 4. Any packets that have their first signature dated on or after the date on which you modify your Intent to Gather Signatures paperwork, would need to be created using the new packet originals, identifying the new office.
- 5. Any packets that have their first signature dated on or after the date on which you modify your Intent to Gather Signatures paperwork, which still identify the combined office, would not be accepted. (So we'll have to have those dates align with which office.)

Again thank you for your time ... if you have any questions, please let me know.

Commissioner Elliott asked for a motion on the ordinance.

Commissioner Stevenson made a motion to approve Item 7, which is the adoption of an ordinance separating the consolidated offices of County Clerk and County Auditor of Davis County. The terms will begin the first Monday in 2023 through the first Monday in 2027 of January.

Commissioner Kamalu stated that she had spoken to anyone who had reached out to her regarding this item, either in the public meeting or by separate call. She asked that anyone with remaining unanswered questions contact her. She then seconded the motion.

Commissioner Elliott conducted a roll call vote for approval.

Commissioner Stevenson: Aye Commissioner Kamalu: Aye Commissioner Elliott: Aye

CED

Contract #2022-43 with Gymnastics Training Center for gymnastics meet

8. Approval of Contract #2022-43 with Gymnastics Training Center for the 2022 Optional and Excel State Gymnastics Meet — Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen

Terms: Receivable in the amount of \$3,852.20, beginning 3/23/2022 to 3/26/2022.

Ratification of amendment #2020-654-A4 to Real Estate Purchase Contract for Land with IGOG L.L.C. **9.** Ratification of Amendment #2020-654-A4 to the Real Estate Purchase Contract for Land with IGOG L.L.C., a Utah limited liability company — Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen

Terms: Beginning 1/8/2022 [correct date 1/18/2022] with a new Settlement Date of 3/21/2022.

Resolution #2022-44 to appoint Assessor Lisa Manning to the Davis County Boundary Commission

10. Approval of Resolution #2022-44 to appoint Assessor Lisa Manning to the Davis County Boundary Commission — Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen

Lisa Manning was thanked for serving on the Boundary Commission. Terms: Beginning 1/25/2022 to 1/25/2026.

Letter of Concurrence #2022-45 and Match Agreement with Wasatch Front Regional Council

11. Approval of Letter of Concurrence #2022-45 and Match Agreement with Wasatch Front Regional Council to update the Economic Development Strategic Plan — Presented by Community and Economic Development Director Kent Andersen

Terms: Payable in the amount of \$5,000, beginning 11/1/2021 to 6/30/2022.

Motion to Approve Items 8-11: Com. Stevenson

Seconded: Com. Kamalu

All voted Aye

Health Dept.

Reappointment of Dr. Johnnie Cook to the Davis County Senior Services Advisory Board **12.** Approval of the reappointment of Dr. Johnnie Cook to the Davis County Senior Services Advisory Board for an additional three year term — Presented by Health Director Brian Hatch

Health Department Director Brian Hatch delegated the presentation of Items 12 through 14 to Rachelle Blackham, the new Deputy Director over Senior Services. He introduced Blackham as replacing Kristy Cottrell, who retired a couple of weeks ago.

Rachelle Blackham requested the reappointment of two members of the Davis County Senior Service Advisory Board: Dr. Johnnie Cook and Lorna Koci. Rachelle Blackham said both of them have had long-term careers focusing on serving others, specifically those in Davis County, and she is delighted that they are willing to re-serve on the committee. Terms: Beginning January 2022 to January 2025.

In response to a question, Commissioners were informed that the term is for three years, and that Dr. Cook had served two terms prior and Koci had served one term. Commissioner Elliott commented that it is good to have consistency and longevity on boards, but sometimes new people should have an opportunity to serve, too.

Reappointment of Lorna Koci to the Davis County Senior Services Advisory Board

13. Approval of the reappointment of Lorna Koci to the Davis County Senior Services Advisory Board for an additional three year term. — Presented by Health Director Brian Hatch

Items 12 and 13 were presented together; see Item 12 for discussion of Item 13. Terms: Beginning January 2022 to January 2025.

Amendment #2017-344-C to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with Salt Lake County to extend the Enhance Fitness Program 14. Approval of Amendment #2017-344-C to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with Salt Lake County on behalf of its Aging and Adult Services Division to extend the term of the agreement for licensure renewal of the Enhance Fitness Program in Davis County, Senior Services — Presented by Health Director Brian Hatch

The amendment extends the license for Enhanced Fitness to allow Davis County Senior Services to continue offering fitness classes to seniors. [Terms: Beginning 7/5/2021 to 7/4/2025.]

Motion to Approve items 12-14: Com. Kamalu

Seconded: Com. Stevenson

All voted Aye

Sheriff's Office

Release of Liability, Waiver of Rights, and Participant Agreement #2022-46 for SCRAM program **15.** Approval of Release of Liability, Waiver of Rights, and Participant Agreement #2022-46 for the Secure Continuous Remote Alcohol Monitoring (SCRAM) program — Presented by Chief Deputy Sheriff Susan Poulsen

It was noted that no names are mentioned [on the agenda or in Commission Meeting] because the agreement is for a treatment program. Terms: Receivable in a varied amount based on used tests and monitoring fees, beginning 1/18/2022.

Order renewal #2022-47 with Thomson Reuters for the CLEAR Proflex and Pro Government Law Enforcement Investigator Plus software subscription

16. Approval of order renewal #2022-47 with Thomson Reuters for the CLEAR Proflex and Pro Government Law Enforcement Investigator Plus software subscription — Presented by Chief Deputy Sheriff Susan Poulsen

The software is basically a search engine that pulls many different avenues of information for investigation purposes for law enforcement. It is a three-year contract. Terms: Payable in the amount of \$11,324.52, beginning 1/25/2022 to 1/25/2025.

Motion to Approve Items 15-16: Com. Stevenson Seconded: Com. Kamalu

All voted Aye

CONSENT ITEMS

Commission Meeting Minute

Meeting Minutes

Meeting Minutes for December 7, 2021, and January 4, 2022, were presented for approval.

Motion to Approve: Com. Stevenson

Seconded: Com. Kamalu

All voted Aye

Commissioner Stevenson moved to recess to the Board of Equalization. Commissioner Kamalu seconded the motion. All voted Aye.

BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

Property Tax Register

Property Tax Register matters were presented by Curtis Koch, Davis County Clerk/Auditor, as follows:

Auditor's Adjustments

Appeals

· A Hearing Findings Report with 10 recommended findings

Corrections

• Eight Veteran Exemption Tax Abatements for the year 2021

Motion to Approve: Com. Stevenson

Seconded: Com. Kamalu

All voted Aye

 $Commissioner\ Stevenson\ moved\ to\ reconvene\ the\ Commission\ Meeting.\ Commissioner\ Kamalu\ seconded\ the\ motion.\ All\ voted\ Aye.$

COMMISSION COMMENTS

Commissioner Elliott noted that more snow is needed. Commissioners met with Weber Basin [Water Conservancy District] yesterday, and took a trip to Willard Bay where they're doing some dredging to deal with silt. It is only at 40 percent water capacity there right now, and there's not a lot of water still up the mountains, so we are still in dire need for a lot of snow. This next year will be a problematic year. As has already been discussed, secondary water might not be turned on because we just have enough for drinking water, he said. Keep praying for snow, or whatever else you can do to get some snow up there, he said, because we need it.

MEETING ADJOURNED

Commission meeting was adjourned at 10:54 a.m.

All publicly distributed materials associated with this meeting are noted as follows:

A. "Davis County, Utah Ordinance No. 2-2022"

Minutes prepared by: Minutes approved on: <u>2/22/2022</u>

Becky R. Wright
Deputy Clerk/Auditor

/s/ Curtis Koch/s/ Randy B. ElliottCurtis KochRandy B. ElliottClerk/AuditorCommission Chair